

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSI-NER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS washington DC 202 (1) www.uspto.kov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,233	02/14/2001	George T. Spitz	390533	1553
75	90 04/07/2003			
LATHROP & GAGE, LC Suite 2800 2345 Grand Boulevard			FXAMINER	
			MEDLEY, MARGARET B	
Kansas City, MO 64108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	8
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	mk-c
•			SPITZ ET AL	
Office Assign Summany		09/783,233		A 17
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Margaret B. Medle		ddress
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aported in the second control of the second co	ppears on the cover s	meet with the correspondence a	
THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION consists of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the provision of the provision of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	l. 1 136(a). In no event, however bely within the statutory mining d will apply and will expire SI tute cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed sum of thirty (30) days will be considered tim X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this secome ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)	ely communication
1)⊡	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	7 January 2003		
2a)⊡		This action is non-fin	al.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allocalosed in accordance with the practice unde	wance except for for er Ex parte Quayle.	mal matters, prosecution as to 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicati			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from considera	tion.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[_	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d/or election requiren	nent.	
	tion Papers			
,	The specification is objected to by the Exami		d to by the Evaminer	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to			1).
44)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approve	d b) disapproved by the Exam	niner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
) All b) Some * c) None of:			
_	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been recei	ved.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a l	riority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received in this Nation 7.2(a)).	al Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			nal application)
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application	on has been received.	
Attachme		· •		
1) No.	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (Other	No(s) PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/783,233

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Paper No. 7 dated January 7, 2003 wherein applicants' amendments to claims 1 and 16 and page 7 of the instant specification has been entered of record. The pending claims of record are claims 1-16.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The amendments to claims 1 and 16 are indefinite and confusing because the end point of each grouping for the amino content overlaps one another and therefore is unclear how the component when both end points are the same. The said claims are further confusing because it is unclear as to when one is to select the ranges of component to achieve the amino content. Also, the claims are further confusing when one views the independent claim 1 with respect to the dependent claims and their amino content. Claim 16 is further confusing when read in light of the condition for (a) with (d) or (e) or (f) because the relative proportion for the monomeric or the oligomeric in (a) has not been established.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/783,233

Art Unit: 1714

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al (Wu 5,593,735) for reasons made of record in Paper No. 6 dated October 24, 2002.

Applicant's arguments filed January 7, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The previous rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the rejection set forth above and applicants' amendment to claim 16.

Contrary to applicants' arguments that the phrase "consisting essentially" in the amended claims would overcome the teachings of Wu, especially to exclude the carbamate co-crosslinking agent in the acid cured coating composition, the instant claims of record are not directed to a coating

Application/Control Number: 09/783,233

Art Unit: 1714

composition and the said phrase would not exclude the said carbamatecrosslinking agent.

In support of their arguments applicants state that Table 3 of the instant application shows unexpectedly high MEK rub numbers (solvent resistance) and Knoop Hardness indexes (hardness) over comparative example 12, 14, 15.

However, applicant has not established on record that the comparative examples 12, 14 and 15 are those of Wu et al that is considered to be the closest prior art or record that has been relied on in the rejection of record made by the examiner. It appears that applicants have not fully considered the amino resin crosslinking agents of Wu et al wherein its teachings have been set forth on page 3 of Paper No. 6 dated October 24, 2002. Applicants' claims are written so broadly that they overlap the teachings of Wu et al.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1714

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret B. Medley whose telephone number is 703-308-2518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

M. B. Medley/mn April 4, 2003 MARGARET MEDLEY